

EVAN R. MOSES, CA Bar No. 198099
evan.moses@ogletree.com
MELIS ATALAY, CA Bar No. 301373
melis.atalay@ogletree.com
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
STEWART, P.C.
400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: 213-239-9800
Facsimile: 213-239-9045

Attorneys for Defendant
HEAVENLY VALLEY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP

JUSTIN TOOBI
justin@toobiesq.com
724 S. Spring Street, Suite 201
Los Angeles, CA 90014
Telephone: 310-435-9407

Attorney for Plaintiff
ADAM HEGGEN

Counsel caption continues on following page

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Adam Heggen, an individual

Plaintiff,

v.

Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership; and
Does 1-10, inclusive;

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00107-WBS-DB

**JOINT STATUS REPORT AND REQUEST
TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE;
ORDER**

**RELATED TO: 2:21-CV-01260 WBS DB
2:21-CV-01608 WBS DB
2:21-CV-02251 WBS DB**

Complaint Filed: October 21, 2020
Date Removed: January 21, 2021
District Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb
Courtroom 5, Sacramento
Magistrate Judge: Hon. Deborah Barnes
Courtroom 27, Sacramento

1 JULIAN BURNS KING, Cal. Bar No. 298716

2 julian@kingsiegel.com

3 ELLIOT J. SIEGEL, Cal. Bar No. 286798

4 elliot@kingsiegel.com

5 KING & SIEGEL LLP

6 724 S. Spring Street, Suite 201

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: 213-465-4802

Facsimile: 213-465-4803

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff

8 ADAM HEGGEN

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16, 23(e), and 41(a), plaintiff Adam Heggen (“Plaintiff”) and defendant Heavenly Valley Limited Partnership (“Defendant” or “Heavenly”) (collectively “the Parties”) hereby submit this joint status report regarding the status of the case and settlement approval process in this Action and four other related cases: *Gibson v. The Vail Corporation*, Case No. 2:21-cv-01260-WBS-DB (E.D. Cal.) (“*Gibson*”); *Hamilton v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership*, 2:21-cv-01608-WBS-DB (E.D. Cal.) (“*Hamilton I*”); *Hamilton v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership*, SC20210148 (El Dorado County Superior Court) (“*Hamilton II*”); and *Roberds v. The Vail Corporation et al.*, Case No. 2:21-cv-02251-WBS-DB (“*Roberds*”), as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 28, 2021, the Parties executed a formal, long-form Settlement Agreement that fully and finally resolves all claims in the Action, pending court approval, as well as claims pled in related cases *Hamilton I*, *Gibson*, *Hamilton II*, and *Roberds* (“the Settlement”);

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2022, the El Dorado County Superior Court entered an Order in the *Hamilton II* action granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement. The Order provisionally certified the settlement class, and ordered that notice be disseminated to all settlement class members (“Settlement Class Members”). Notice of class action settlement (“Notice”) went out to over 100,000 Settlement Class Members on March 22, 2022. The deadline to object to or opt-out of the Settlement expired on May 20, 2022;

WHEREAS, after considering all final approval papers, all objections to the Settlement, , and oral argument from objectors at a hearing on June 17, 2022, and the arguments of counsel and other individuals at a final approval hearing on August 19, 2022, the El Dorado County Superior Court entered an Order in the *Hamilton II* action on August 19, 2022 granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement (“Final Approval Order”).

WHEREAS the Final Approval Order made the following findings concerning the Settlement, which covers the claims in this Action, among others:

- The Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable;
- The distribution of the Notice to effectuate the Settlement has been completed in

conformance with the El Dorado Superior Court's February 1, 2022 Order Granting Preliminary Approval; the El Dorado Superior Court's March 8, 2022 Order Extending Time to Issue Notice of Class Settlement; and the El Dorado Superior Court's Order Granting Plaintiffs' Unopposed Ex Parte Application to Permit Dissemination of a Supplemental Text Message Notice and to Extend the Response Deadline to May 20, 2022;

- The Notice was adequate, satisfied due process requirements, and was the best notice practicable under the circumstances;
- The Settlement Agreement is binding on all Settlement Class Members who have not timely opted out.
- A Class is certified for settlement purposes only, which is defined as all non-exempt employees who at any time during the Covered Period worked for and were employed by Vail (including Defendant in this action) in the United States and worked primary at one of its resort locations or mountain facilities, with limited exclusions.
- Judgment is entered.
- El Dorado Superior Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of the Judgment pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h);

WHEREAS the Settlement provides that Plaintiffs will dismiss this action with prejudice within 28 days that the Final Approval Order becomes a final, non-appealable order;

WHEREAS, on or around September 24, 2022, non-parties John Linn and Mark Molina filed a motion to set aside and vacate judgment in *Hamilton II*;

WHEREAS, on October 27, 2022, the El Dorado County Superior Court issued a tentative ruling denying non-parties' motion to set aside and vacate judgment in *Hamilton II*, which became the final order of the court on November 14, 2022;

WHEREAS, on December 1, 2022, the non-parties filed a notice of appeal concerning the order denying the motion to set aside and vacate judgment in *Hamilton II*;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby jointly request that this Court continue the

1 December 19, 2022 Status Conference for a period of four (4) months, or April 19, 2023, or as
2 soon thereafter as the Court's calendar permits.

3
4 DATED: December 5, 2022

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
STEWART, P.C.

5
6
7 By: /s/ Melis Atalay
8 Evan R. Moses
Melis Atalay

9 Attorneys for Defendant
10 HEAVENLY VALLEY LIMITED
11 PARTNERSHIP

12 DATED: December 5, 2022

KING & SIEGEL LLP

13
14 By: /s/ Elliot J. Siegel
15 Julian Burns King
16 Elliot J. Siegel

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 ADAM HEGGEN
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER


The Court having reviewed the foregoing stipulation, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, the Court orders as follows:

(1) The Parties shall file a further Joint Status Report addressing the status of the Settlement and any additional information that the Court requires, on **April 10, 2023**.

(2) A Status Conference Re Class Action Settlement is set for **April 24, 2023 at 1:30 p.m.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 5, 2022



WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE